| City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|--|---------------------|------------|----------------|--|---|---|---|
| Project Name: Unique project identifier: | | Pedestrian Priority Streets | | | | | PM's overall risk rating: | CRP requested this gateway | | £ 414,200 | | Average unmitigated risk | | | | | | Open Risks | 16 | | |
| | | 12269 | | | | Total estimated cost (exec risk): | | £ 1,500,000 | Total CRP used to date | £ 56.000 | | Average mitigated risk score | | 2.2 | | | Closed Risks | | 1 | | |
| Gen | eral risk classification | | | | | | | | Mitigation actions | | | _ | | | | | Ownership | & Action | | | |
| Risk ID | Gateway Category | Description of the Risk | Risk Impact Description | Likelihood Classification n pre- mitigation | Impact Classificatio n pre- mitigation | Risk score | Costed impact pre- mitigation (£) | Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N | n Mitigating actions | Mitigation cost (£) | Classification post- | Impact i Classifica ion post- mitigation | mitigation (£) | Post- Mitiga tion risk score | CRP used to date | Use of CRP | Date raised | Named Departmental Risk Manager/ Coordinator | Risk owner (Named Officer or External Party) | Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to | Comment(s) |
| R1 | 5 (1) Compliance/Reg | Issues or delays in any required consents such as third party consents, TIOs, Permits, etc which cause delays to the implementation of the schemes. | If there was to be any delay in the approval of any required consents, such as TIOs, Permits, EqIA, TMAN etc; its likely delivery of the interventions could suffer from some form of unplanned delay or additional work. | Possible | Serious | 6 | £30,000.00 | И | * Map out the required consents for each intervention / experimental scheme and continually monitor & update the consents if required throughout the trial period and delivery of the permanent measures. * Schedule regular meetings with consent approvers, especially those with long lead in times or complex approval procedures. | | 0 Possible | Minor | £15,000 | 2 | \$0.0€ | £15,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | Issues | Although the interventions / experimental schemes are being delivered under well-used and understood regulations, there is a possibility that some delays may occur due to unforeseen technicalities. Updated risk to reflect changed programme of work 01/23 |
| R2 | 5 (1) Compliance/Reg | Legal challenges or query upon any of the interventions / experimental schemes (excluding judicial review) that leads to delays or extra costs | Should an intervention / experimental scheme fall under some form of legal or challenge or investigation, its likely additional time and resource will be required to undertake associated work. External additional legal assistance could also be required. On the other hand, a project may need to look at legally resolving an unforeseen issue to proceed. It's also possible that a challenge to one measure then means that all are affected. | Possible | Serious | 6 | £100,000.00 |) N | *Consult early on with the legal, planning and network performance teams as required to identify potential issues, then monitor these individual issues and mitigate if possible. *Ensure IRO making process is followed to the letter of the law to mitigate against any statutory challenges (lesson learnt form Beech St) | £0.0 | D Possible | Minor | £50,000 | 3 | £0.00 | £50,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | | Given the experimental nature of the interventions being installed, it is unlikely that any form of meaningful legal challenge will take place but standard project management processes will help mitigate against the possibility. |
| R3 | 5 (3) Reputation | Issue(s) with external engagement and buy-in, including any perceived or actual negative impacts, lead to additional resources being required to compensate | Further time and therefore resource may be required if the interventions / experimental schemes delivered don't meet the stakeholder's expectations. Its possible that as a result of this, changes to the interventions / experimental schemes may also be required. | Possible | Serious | 6 | £20,000.00 |) N | * Early-as-possible identification and engagement with key stakeholders where possible. * Proactive external comms to inform stakeholders as early as possible. | £0.0£ | 0 Possible | Minor | £10,000 | 3 | £0.00 | £10,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | | Engagement with businesses, occupiers, residents, street users and other actively interested stakeholders (refer to PPS comms strategy) explaining what's happening and why is best placed to mitigate against negative reactions to the interventions / experimental schemes. |
| R4 | 5 (4) Contractual/Part nership | Issue(s) with internal engagement and buy-in, including any perceived or actual negative impacts, lead to additional resources being required to compensate | Further time and therefore resource may be required if the interventions / experimental schemes delivered either don't meet the stakeholder's expectations. Its possible that as a result of this, changes to the interventions / experimental schemes may also be required. | Unlikely | Minor | 4 | £10,000.00 | N | * Early-as-possible identification and engagement with key stakeholders where possible. * Proactive internal comms to inform stakeholders as early as possible. | £0.0 | D Unlikely | Minor | £2,500 | 1 | £0.00 | £2,500.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | | (as above) |
| R5 | 5 (4) Contractual/Part nership | Procurement procedures impact negatively on project delivery | Additional resource may be required if there is a delay or issue with the procurement of goods or services from external suppliers. | Unlikely | Minor | 3 | £10,000,00 | N | * Undertake early engagement with City's term contractor, FM Conway where required and map out the required resources & materials. | £0.0 | 0 Unlikely | Minor | £3,000 | 1 | £0.00 | £3,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | | Early engagement and early ordering where possible. |
| R6 | 5 (4) Contractual/Part nership | Supplier delays, productivity or resource issues impact on project delivery | Referring both to internal and external suppliers to projects, alternative arrangements which require additional resource may be required if a potential or existing supplier is unable to deliver as agreed for whatever reason. This may involve retendering work if an existing supplier is unable to deliver. | Unlikely | Minor | 2 | £10,000.00 |) N | * Utilise existing framework agreements where possible * Investigate any likely * bottlenecks', such as TiL's ability to deliver at this time, as early as possible to help plan possible mitigations | £0.0 | 0 Unlikely | Minor | £5,000 | 1 | £0.00 | £5,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | | The interventions / experimental schemes are being installed are to be delivered by the City's term contractor. FM Conway, with the issue of resourcing having already been discussed. However, with the economic climate, inflation and labour shortages in some industries its possible it could also negatively impact on resources available. |
| R7 | 5 (1) Compliance/Reg | Accessibility, equalities and/ or security concerns or simmilar lead to changes being required to either designs or implemented interventions that in-turn results in additional resources being required to compensate. | Further changes may be required if accessibility, equalities and/ or security concerns are raised. | Possible | Minor | 5 | £30,000.00 | И | * Include the City's Accessibility and Security Officers (if required) in design reviews. * Consider involving accessibility groups in an advisory role. | £0.0 | 0 Possible | Minor | £15,000 | 3 | £0.00 | £15,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | | The interventions / experimental schemes will account for accessibility, equalities and security concerns but its possible that when implemented or further design reviews are undertaken that changes are deemed necessary to remove identified shortcomings. |

| R8 | 5 | (2) Financial | Inaccurate or incomplete project estimates, including baxters/ inflationary issues leads to budget increases | If an estimate is found at a later date to be inaccurate o incomplete, more funding and/or time resource would be needed to rectify the issue or fund/ underwrite the shortfall. More specifically, inflationary amounts predetermined earlier in a project may be found to be insufficient and require extra funding to cover any shortfall. | Possible | Major | 12 | £60,000.00 | N | * Undertake regular cost reviews via interim submissions from the main contractor. * Track spending closely so future costs can be estimated more accurately. | £0.00 Unlikely | Minor | £35,000 | 4 £0 | £35,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | The works required are using well- established rates and costs through the City's existing highways term contractor but its difficult to know at this stage what the likely end cost is to be given that the decision to retain of remove the experimental schemes is unknown. Therefore, work will take place to track the spending required to maintain the interventions so that a future spend profile can be estimated. This will include any upcoming rate/ baxters/RPI changes. |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|---|---|------------|---------|----|-------------|---|--|----------------|---------|----------|------------------|----------------|--------------|---|--|--|
| R9 | 5 | (4) Contractual/Par nership | Network accessibility before t and during construction which cause project delay and/ or increased costs | Should parts of the road network not be available or become unavailable during implementation, expect delivery delays. | Possible | Serious | 6 | £25,000.00 | N | * Regular engagement with City and IfL network management teams | £0.00 Possible | Minor | £20,000 | 3 £(| £20,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | It is possible that should other works be required in a given street or road that it could impact on the City's ability to delivery the interventions / experimental schemes. For example, if urgent utility works are required on a street where interventions have been installed, it could result in alternative routes being required to comfortably divert pedestrians and cyclists around the emergency works. Delays could cause cost increases with material prices and some utility serivces. |
| R10 | 5 | (6) Safeguarding | Unforeseen technical and/ or engineering issues identified which leads to delays and additional costs to rectify. | Late identification of any engineering or technical issues that disrupt delivery could result in further costs whether they be time, funding or resources. | Possible | Serious | 6 | £20,000.00 | N | * Work with design engineers to review each site at the appropriate time. | £0.00 Unlikely | Serious | £12,000 | 4 £1,000 | £11,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | engineering difficulties occurred with the interim measures leading to a change in aproach to the project, but increased costs had been realised in determining this and changing direction. Increased the provision available as this risk still exists and drawing down part of the revised revision. (jan 23) |
| R11 | 5 | (4) Contractual/Par nership | TfL buses engagement and their requirements on a project. | Further time and therefore resource may be required if planned engagement work with TIL buses didn't go as planned. Also, they may change their requirements for a project. | Unlikely | Serious | 4 | £25,000.00 | N | * Ensure early engagement with Til. buses in the design phases so they can consult internally * Design the interventions to help minimise impacts on the bus network | £0.00 Unlikely | Minor | £12,500 | 2 £0 | .00 £12,500.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | Bus routes and stops are likely to be affected by at least some of the interventions so these effects will need to be discussed with TfL and monitored, and changes made to the interventions if required. |
| R12 | 6 | (3) Reputation | Accident during construction/ operation impacts on project delivery and/ or costs | | Rare | Major | 8 | £30,000.00 | N | * Consider regular site visits with the Principal Designer both to monitor the construction of the interventions / experimental schemes and user behaviour once installed. | £0.00 Unlikely | Serious | £15,000 | 4 £0 | £15,000.00 | 06/07/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | Should an accident occur within any of the interventions / experimental schemes, the safety of all may be called into question. Therefore, the planned monitoring is to include an overview of any accidents that occur. However, any identified changes will require resourcing in terms of design and contractor time. |
| R13 | 5 | (10) Physical | Unexpected STATS diversions or alterations | Unforeseen delay and costs from SU companies | Possible | Serious | 5 | £50,000.00 | N | Ensure due NSWRA process is followed | £0.00 Possible | Minor | £35,000 | 3 £30,000 | £5,000.00 | 13/09/2021 | Policy and | Kristian Turner, Policy and | |
| R14 | 3 | (2) Financial | Gateway 345 cost estimates are based on schematic and preliminary design plans. Subsequent changes /costs may be identified during the detailed design phase. | | Possible | Serious | 6 | £50,000.00 | N | Highways (who will undertake detailed design) to undertake a review of the preliminary design cost estimates prior to gateway 345 submission. | £0.00 Unlikely | Minor | £30,000 | 3 £25,000 | £5,000.00 | 13/09/2021 | Projects Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Projects Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | All of the work undertaken to try to get the Interim measures to work, followed by the subsequent redesign to design permenant measures with additional costs for utilitites realised. |
| R15 | 5 | (10) Physical | King William Street subject to the upcoming 'Bank Blockade' by TfL in early 2022. | Restricted working at weekends only | Likely | Serious | | £82,000.00 | И | None | £0.00 Likely | Serious | | £ | 0.00 | 24/09/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and No Projects | v-22 blocade complete |
| R16 | 5 | (2) Financial | Provision for a Continued upliff in the term contract rates to reflect serivces andf materials costs, "supply chain inflation/energy/etc rises" | Unforeseen increase in works costs | Possible | Serious | 6 | £187,200.00 | N | None | £0.00 Possible | Serious | £187,200 | 6 £0 | £187,200.0 | 0 27/09/2021 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | |
| R17 | 5 | (2) Financial | outcome of public consultation exercise identifie areas that need to be relooked at or redesigned increasing costs of the scheme | s increased staff and resource costs above what was planned/expected to modify schemes to better meet the stakeholder aspirations | | Serious | 6 | £20,000.00 | | engage as early as possible with stakeholders | £0.00 Unlikely | Serious | £13,000 | 4 £0 | £13,000.0 | 0 01/10/2022 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | |
| R18 | 5 | (4) Contractual/Par nership | Additional investigations or t surveys may be required by internal/ external parties to further validate the design. | Delays could occur to the programme if validation of the design is delayed. | e Possible | Serious | 6 | £12,000.00 | | undertake trial holes and basement surveys where needed to minimise the risk, but if it occurs there will be additioanl costs | £0.00 Possible | Serious | £10,000 | 6 £0 | £10,000.0 | 0 01/10/2022 | Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects | Kristian Turner, Policy and Projects | undertaking the detailed design on the phase I schmes, may require additioanl surveys than those already planned, particulalry concerning |